

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/607,010 | 06/29/2000 | Masato Okabe | CU-2263 TFP | 7333 |
| 75 | 590 03/28/2003 | | | |
| Thomas F Peterson c/o Ladas & Parry 224 South Michigan Avenue | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MCPHERSON, JOHN A | |
| Chicago, IL 60 | 0604 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1756 | 9 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | | 0 |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/607,010 | OKABE ET AL. | |
| Advisory Addition | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| √ | John A. McPherson | 1756 | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of this application and the same of the s | cation. A proper report for places the application of the contraction of the capture of the capt | oly to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | • | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by | pelow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): the 35 USC 102 rejections | over Shirota and WC | <u>'158</u> . |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | d amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See | r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet. | sidered but does NC | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | · | |
| 8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp | proved by the Exam | iner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | |
| 10. Other: | | John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756 | |
| S Patent and Trademark Office | | 7 AT CHAR. 1700 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) > .09/607,340



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record as set forth in the 35 USC 103 rejection over WO '158 in view of EP '449 (see paragraph 5 of the Final Rejection dated 2/28/03). Note that EP '449 teaches changing the wettability by ink and/or the ink absorptivity, for example see page 4, line 43 to page 5, line 9.